

Dean, Julie (DEM)

From: Tiffany Sharp <tiffanysharpwin@outlook.com>
Sent: Wednesday, 14 August 2019 9:20 AM
To: DEM:Mining Reg Rehab
Subject: Woodside Mine

Good Morning,

I have a background in Public Health and military community health, particularly predicting disease, illness outbreak and health and wellbeing causative factors- epidemiology.

I have grave concerns over the development of a mine in Woodside.

Any intentional or accidental contamination, pollution, disruption of aquifers, ground water and soil will have wider public health repercussions throughout Woodside.

Depending on how wide the damage is there is potential for many other suburbs and areas to bare the brunt of said contamination and pollution.

The economic viability of a mine in this area will be at the expense of destroying a tourist industry and the potential costs of trying to repair and contain environmental damage and possible law suits from local industry and families directly impacted by loss of earnings and health complications, outweigh the cost benefit of such an endeavour, such as a mere 140 jobs. Those benefiting from gold extraction certainly will not feel the impact, at first.

With high profile advocates such as Erin Brockovich already familiar with South Australia water contamination issues from the military sector, it wouldn't be difficult to involve her in the ill advised endeavour of plowing a mine through pristine soil, waterway and viticulture land and expect there wouldn't be pollution and irreversible damage. The news of which will not just be local but worldwide, impacting brand and shareholders.

In terms of your own wellbeing and health, time will not look kindly on industry, businesses, individuals and government who gained financially by dealing with vested mining interests, particularly from overseas- Asia and Hong Kong, that negatively impacted the health and environment of Australians. It's very possible that will be the legacy each person involved in this deal will have to carry, inter-generationally.

Perhaps 'gold fever' would be better served by investing in other means of extraction that doesn't involve such destruction. Mining in its most simplistic form has not changed since advent of TNT and drills, surely such money can buy better innovation. Of course, for such innovation to occur that would require an intrepid leader, change in culture and key objectives - is Terramin even capable of such an endeavour? The cost benefit of which could outweigh many public health and environmental concerns, creating a corporate and share holder windfall.

Regards,

Tiffany Sharp

BHlthSc, BA