

## Attachment 1:

### Guidance on Iron Roads Response to Public Submissions for Central Eyre Iron Project (CEIP) MLP/EIS

The Mining Lease Proposal (MLP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) submitted by Iron Road for the CEIP underwent a period of statutory consultation commencing on 19 November 2015 and concluding on 2 February 2016. Submissions received from the public during the formal consultation period raise a broad spectrum of issues regarding the proposed CEIP.

Please review each of the issues raised in the public submissions and respond to each specific issue using the information and table below as guidance. The table format below is provided as guidance only and Iron Road is free to adopt an alternative format. Iron Road can choose to submit one consolidated Response Document for the MLP and EIS, or two separate Response Documents.

The Iron Road Response Document(s) should address the following requirements of government:

1. Each specific issue raised in each public submission provided to Iron Road should be considered and responded to by Iron Road.
2. To assist government in assessing the Iron Road Response Document(s), please ensure the format of the Response Document(s) easily identifies which issues relate to (i) the **EIS**, (ii) Commonwealth matters relating to **EPBC Act** or (iii) the **MLP** (or a combination of each), and which particular component the issue relates to (e.g. Port, Railway, IWL, etc).
3. The Iron Road Response Document(s) format should enable a person who made a public submission which has been provided to Iron Road, to easily find Iron Roads response to each issue in their submission.

Government provides the following guidance on the Response Document:

1. In relation to common issues within a single public submission, Iron Road is free to group these issues in your Response Document(s) to prevent duplication. Please ensure each response to an issue is clear and easy to follow should you choose to group issues.
2. In relation to common issues between different public submissions (or a government question), where the issue is identical in nature, Iron Road is free to reference a previous response to prevent duplication. Please ensure each response to an issue is clear and easy to follow should you choose to use references.
3. If a cross referencing system is used in the Response Document(s), ensure that it is clear and simple to follow and use.

#### Response Document Template (Not mandatory – Example only)

Issue #	EIS/EPBC/MLP	Topic	Component	Description of Issue Raised in Public Submission	Iron Road Response
<b>Submission 1 – Unique Identifier (Only provide submitter name if privacy has not been requested. Do not provide any other personal details)</b>					
1	Example Only				
2	MLP	Air Quality	IWL	Description of Issue from the public	Iron Roads Response to this specific issue
3	etc				
<b>Submission 2 – Unique Identifier</b>					
1	EIS	Public Safety	Railway Corridor	Description of Issue from the public	Iron Roads Response to this specific issue
2	etc				